UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,412	05/30/2007	Rolf Baenteli	33711-US-PCT	1935
NOVARTIS INSTITUTES FOR BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH, INC. 220 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, JAMES D	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/594,412	BAENTELI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAMES D. ANDERSON	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Se</u>	eptember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	αιστι πρμιταιίστ				

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3 and 15, drawn to a method of treating a proliferative disease comprising administering a compound of the Formula (I) (Note additional Election of Species Requirement below).

Group II, claim(s) 4-8, 13-14, and 16, drawn to compounds of Formula (I) and compositions comprising said compounds (Note additional Election of Species Requirement below).

Group III, claim(s) 9 and 16, drawn to compounds of Formula (II) (Note additional Election of Species Requirement below).

Group IV, claim(s) 10 and 16, drawn to compounds of Formula (III) (Note additional Election of Species Requirement below).

Group V, claim(s) 11 and 16, drawn to compounds of Formula (IV) (Note additional Election of Species Requirement below).

Group VI, claim(s) 12 and 16, drawn to compounds of Formula (V) (Note additional Election of Species Requirement below).

Group VII, claim(s) 18, drawn to a process of preparing a compound of claim 4 (Formula I) (Note additional Election of Species Requirement below).

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups I and III-VI are drawn to compounds having different structures. As such, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. Groups I, II, and VII relate to compounds of Formula (I), methods of using compounds of Formula (I), and methods of making compounds of Formula (I). As such, the groups have the same feature (compounds of Formula (I)). However, the compounds of Formula (I) common to Groups I, II, and VII cannot be said to be a "special technical feature" because such compounds

were known in the art prior to Applicant's invention. For example, WO 01/09134 teaches compounds having the Formula (I) which correspond to the compounds of Formula (I) as recited in the instant claims when R_2 is aryl, R_6 is aryl, R_6 is H or lower alkyl, and R_8 is H in the claimed compounds.

Election of Species Requirement (Upon Election of Group I, II, III, IV, V, VI, or VII)

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The plethora of compounds encompassed by Formulas (I)-(V) as recited in the instant claims.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. In order to be fully responsive to this requirement,

Applicants are required to specifically identify a single disclosed compound for examination by providing the name of the elected compound (i.e., "5-Amino-2-methyl-indole-1-carboxylic acid ten-butyl ester". In addition to the name, to aid the Examiner in his search and to avoid any confusion with regard to the elected specie, Applicants are respectfully requested to additionally provide the structure of the elected compound.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 10/594,412

Art Unit: 1614

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

Page 4

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1-8, 13-15, and 18 correspond to compounds of Formula (I);

Claims 9 and 16 correspond to compounds of Formula (II);

Claims 10 and 16 correspond to compounds of Formula (III);

Claims 11 and 16 correspond to compounds of Formula (IV); and

Claims 12 and 16 correspond to compounds of Formula (V).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-16 and 18.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species encompassed by the claimed compounds of Formulas (I) to (V) are diverse and contain structurally and functionally distinct substituents. For example, a compound of Formula (I) wherein R2 is phenyl would require a different search than a compound of Formula (I) wherein R2 is bicyclic heteroaryl. Further, species of the claimed compounds were known in the art at the time the invention was made as discussed supra and thus are not deemed a special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Joint Inventors

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder

Art Unit: 1614

in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES D. ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571)272-9038. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI 9:00 am - 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James D Anderson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614 Application/Control Number: 10/594,412

Page 7

Art Unit: 1614